

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/530,209	04/20/2006	Julie Hazel Campbell	4501-1016	9620	
466 7590 03/31/2009 YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street			TSAY, MARSHA M		
Suite 500 ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1656		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/31/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/530 209 CAMPBELL ET AL

Art Unit Marsha M. Tsay 1656 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Marsha M. Tsay. (3) Robert Goozner. (2) M. Monshipouri, PhD. (4)	Interview Summary	10/550,209	CAMPBELLET	AL.					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Marsha M. Tsay. (2) M. Monshipouri, PhD. Date of Interview: 24 March 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference cy Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-12 and 17-24. Identification of prior art discussed: Elliott et al. (WO 0100047). Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: it was suggested that to overcome the Elliott et al. reference that the claims be amended to recite a comparison step between the serum levels prior to and after administration of beta-casein A2. Applicants' representative will consider filing a response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO	interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
(1) Marsha M. Tsay. (2) M. Monshipouri, PhD. Date of Interview: 24 March 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ The Company of Company of the Company of Company of the Company of Company		Marsha M. Tsay	1656						
(2) M. Monshipouri, PhD. Date of Interview: 24 March 2009. Type: a) □ Telephonic b) □ Video Conference c) □ Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes e) □ No. If Yes, brief description: □ . Claim(s) discussed: 1-12 and 17-24. Identification of prior art discussed: Elliott et al. (WO 0100047). Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) □ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: it was sudgested that to overcome the Elliott et al. reference that the claims be amended to recite a comparison step between the semm levels prior to and after administration of beta-casein A2. Applicants' representative will consider filing a response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LINGRER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):								
Date of Interview: 24 March 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c\ C\ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2\ D\ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: ☐ . Claim(s) discussed: 1-12 and 17-24. Identification of prior art discussed: Elliott et al. (WO 0100047). Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: it was suggested that to overcome the Elliott et al. reference that the claims be amended to recite a comparison step between the serum levels prior to and after administration of beta-casein A2. Applicants' representative will consider filing a response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO	(1) <u>Marsha M. Tsay</u> .	(3)Robert Goozner.							
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c	(2) <u>M. Monshipouri, PhD</u> .	(4)							
c) Personal (copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) ☑ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) □ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description: □ Claim(s) discussed: 1-12 and 17-24. Identification of prior art discussed: Elliott et al. (WO 0100047). Agreement with respect to the claims f) □ was reached. g) □ was not reached. h) ☑ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: it was suggested that to overcome the Elliott et al. reference that the claims be amended to recite a comparison step between the serum levels prior to and after administration of beta-casein A2. Applicants' representative will consider filing a response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	Date of Interview: 24 March 2009.								
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1-12 and 17-24. Identification of prior art discussed: Elliott et al. (WO 0100047). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: it was suggested that to overcome the Elliott et al. reference that the claims be amended to recite a comparison step between the serum levels prior to and after administration of beta-casein A2. Applicants' representative will consider filing a response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	a]						
Identification of prior art discussed: Elliott et al. (WO 0100047). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: it was suggested that to overcome the Elliott et al. reference that the claims be amended to recite a comparison step between the serum levels prior to and after administration of beta-casein A2. Applicants' representative will consider filing a response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview		e)⊠ No.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>It was suggested that to overcome the Elifoit et al. reference that the claims be amended to recite a comparison step between the serum levels prior to and after administration of beta-casein A2. <u>Applicants' representative will consider filing a response.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview</u>	Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-12 and 17-24</u> .								
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: it was suggested that to overcome the Elliott et al. reference that the claims be amended to recite a comparison step between the serum levels prior to and after administration of beta-casein A2. Applicants' representative will consider filing a response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record filterview	Identification of prior art discussed: Elliott et al. (WO 0100047).								
reached, or any other comments: it was suggested that to overcome the Elliott et al. reference that the claims be amended to recite a comparison step between the serum levels prior to and after administration of beta-casein A2. Applicants' representative will consider filing a response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.								
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	reached, or any other comments: it was suggested that to overcome the Elliott et al. reference that the claims be amended to recite a comparison step between the serum levels prior to and after administration of beta-case								
INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview	allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c	opy of the amendments that v							
	INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO					
Maryam Monshipouri/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656	/Maryam Monshipouri/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1656								